CI ERK, U.S. DIETORIOT COURT

CIENTRALITATION OF CALIFORNIA

CENTRALITATION OF CALIFORNIA

CONTRALITATION OF CALIFORNIA

CONTR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: $5:14-20m$
Plaintiff, v. nelson humbuch Romano Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING FURTHER REVOCATION PROCEEDINGS (FED. R. CRIM. P. 32.1(a)(6); 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1))
The defendant having been arre	ested in this District pursuant to a warrant
issued by the United States District Co	ourt for the District of
Utah for alleged violation	n(s) of the terms and conditions of probation
or supervised release; and	
Having conducted a detention h	nearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal
Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. §	3143(a)(1), the Court finds that:
A. (X) The defendant has not met h	is/her burden of establishing by clear and
convincing evidence that he	/she is not likely to flee if released under 18
U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). The	is finding is based on the following:
(√) information in the Pre	etrial Services Report and Recommendation
(χ) information in the vio	lation petition and report(s)
	pjection to detention at this time
(X) other: fortral	serves reports
•	

1		and/ or
2	B. ()	The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and
3		convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the
4		safety of any other person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C.
5	i-	§ 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the following:
6		() information in the Pretrial Services Report and Recommendation
7		() information in the violation petition and report(s)
8		() the defendant's nonobjection to detention at this time
9		() other:
10		
11	IT THER	EFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the further
12	revocatio	on proceedings.
13		$A \sim 2$
14	Dated: \bar{J}	January 22, 2014 SHERIPYM
15		United States Magistrate Judge
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		